



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,376	376 12/29/2000 Min Zhu		M-8858 US	2401
75	590 05/14/2004	EXAMINER		
PHILIP W. W	OO USSTIN BROWN & WO	BANANKHAH, MAJID A		
555 CALIFORI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 5000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104-1715			2127	Ðj

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	<i>^</i>			
4'	•	09/752,37	6	ZHU ET AL.	7			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Majid A Ba		2127				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	ddress			
THE I  - Exter after - If the - If the - If NO - Failu Any rearns  Status  1)  2a)	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sieply received by the Office later than three months after the new patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2.  This action is FINAL. 2b)	DN. R 1.136(a). In no even. a reply within the statueriod will apply and wittatute, cause the apply nailing date of this contract.  29 December 20 This action is n	ent, however, may a reply be tire story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE inmunication, even if timely filed and the story of the story of the story and the story of the story	nely filed  s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133). d, may reduce any	communication.			
3)[_]	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from col						
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \[ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) b prection is require	e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume ıreau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
2) D Notic 3) D Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/752,376

Art Unit: 2127

1. This office action is in response to application filed on December 29, 2000. Claims 1-16 are considered for examination.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-16 of the present application are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,567,813 (hereinafter '813'). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons.

For example, in claim 1 of the present application, the first five steps of the claim are literally the first five steps of claim 1 of the Patent '813' respectively. The last step in claim1 recites "discovering the presence of each other said management process using a communications module in each respective management process" is same as "obtaining state information" in the patent '813' because as stated in the specification of patent '813' (See specification of '813' patent in col. 9, lines 8-12, server recovers its state information (e.g., information describing its configuration, operating or ...) from the local meeting zone's gatekeeper). Additionally, the wherein clause, i.e. "wherein each said management process further comprises a transaction

Application/Control Number: 09/752,376

Art Unit: 2127

Page 3

server" is part of the recitation in last paragraph of claim 1 in the patent '813', i.e. "combining said state information with load information to create quality of service (QoS) value; and modifying said partitioned based on said QoS value", because the communication is established between server processing transaction in the patent. In other words, claim 1 in the present application is broader than claim 1 in the patent. One ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use less sub-steps in order to expedite the process or method.

Claim 2 is rejected because secure interprocess communications is well known in the art for the reason that communications be carried out in a secure environment. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a security policy as part of the communication between processes to make secure communication. Claim 3 is rejected because, it is well known in the art to choose a server as a central coordinator in a collaborative environment because efficiency is increased this way and it prevents bottleneck problem. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to assign one of the servers as the coordinator in order to reduce overhead of coordination and increase efficiency.

Claim 4 is rejected because, the state information is passed between the management process in the independent claim and coordinator process is one of the management processes.

For the rejection of claims 5-8, 9-12, and 13-16, see the rejection of claims 1-5 stated above.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,376

Art Unit: 2127

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Majid A. Banankhah whose voice telephone number is (703)

308-6903. A voice mail service is also available at this number.

All response sent to U.S. Mail should be mailed to:

**Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park Two, 2021 Crystal

Drive, Arlington. VA, Six Floor (Receptionist). All hand-delivered responses will be handled

and entered by the docketing personnel. Please do not hand deliver responses to the Examiner.

All Formal or Official Faxes must be signed and sent to either (703) 308-9051 or

(703) 308-9052. Official faxes will be handled and entered by the docketing personnel. The date

of entry will correspond to the actual FAX reception date unless that date is a Saturday, Sunday,

or a Federal Holiday within the District of Columbia, in which case the official date of receipt

will be the next business day. The application file will be promptly forwarded to the Examiner

unless the application file must be sent to another area of the office, e.g., Finance Division for

fee charging, etc.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Maid Banankhah

5/5/04

MAJID BANANKHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4